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#### Abstract

\section*{Background}

The fitness of Canadian children and youth has not been measured in more than two decades, a period during which childhood obesity and sedentary behaviours have increased. This paper provides up-to-date estimates of the fitness of Canadians aged 6 to 19 years.

\section*{Data and methods}

Data are from the 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS), the most comprehensive direct health measures survey ever conducted on a nationally representative sample of Canadians. Descriptive statistics for indicators of body composition, aerobic fitness and musculoskeletal fitness are provided by sex and age group, and comparisons are made with the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey (CFS).

\section*{Results}

Fitness levels of children and youth have declined significantly and meaningfully since 1981, regardless of age or sex. Significant sex differences exist for most fitness measures. Fitness levels change substantially between ages 6 and 19 years. Youth aged 15 to 19 years generally have better aerobic fitness and body composition indicators than 20 - to 39 -year-olds.

\section*{Interpretation}

This decline in fitness may result in accelerated chronic disease development, higher health care costs, and loss of future productivity.
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> Childhood obesity and inactivity have been at the forefront of child health concerns in Canada in recent years, ${ }^{1-5}$ with compelling evidence that childhood obesity is rising ${ }^{6-8}$ and inactivity levels are high. ${ }^{2,3,9}$ These trends are particularly important given the strength of the evidence demonstrating the health consequences of childhood obesity ${ }^{4,5,10}$ and the benefits of physical activity to childhood health and wellness. ${ }^{2,3,11-15}$

Evidence also indicates that childhood aerobic fitness levels are declining worldwide, ${ }^{16}$ that aerobic fitness is related to health in children in a doseresponse fashion, ${ }^{17}$ and that these relationships are independent of physical activity. ${ }^{18}$ Overwhelming evidence demonstrates that higher or improved fitness, including measures of body composition (for example, body mass index, waist circumference, skinfolds), cardiorespiratory function (for example, aerobic fitness) and musculoskeletal fitness (for example, strength, muscular endurance, flexibility), is associated with improved health in children and youth. ${ }^{11-13,17,18}$

The importance of measuring and monitoring the fitness of children and youth is obvious but logistically challenging, and rarely done on large,
representative national samples. Notable studies in Canada include the 1972 Nutrition Canada Survey, ${ }^{19}$ the 1978 Canada Health Survey, ${ }^{20}$ the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey (CFS) ${ }^{21}$ and the 1988 Campbell's Survey on the Wellbeing of Canadians, ${ }^{22}$ with the latter two providing the most comprehensive and recent data.

Fitness has not been measured at the national level in more than two decades in Canada. In 2007, in partnership with Health Canada and the Public Health Agency of Canada, Statistics Canada launched the Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS) to address this (and other) data gap(s). ${ }^{23-27}$ The CHMS is the most comprehensive direct health measures survey ever conducted in Canada. In addition to a detailed health interview, the CHMS includes direct
measurement of indicators of, and risk factors for, chronic disease, infectious disease, environmental exposures, nutritional status, physical activity and physical fitness. ${ }^{23-27}$

Using data from the CHMS, this paper provides an up-to-date overview of the fitness levels of Canadian children and youth aged 6 to 19 years, including estimates of body composition (body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and skinfolds), aerobic fitness and musculoskeletal fitness (including muscular strength, endurance and flexibility). Where possible, CHMS results are compared with findings from the $1981 \mathrm{CFS}^{21}$ to examine temporal changes in fitness.

## Methods

## Data sources

The Canadian Health Measures Survey covers the Canadian population aged 6 to 79 years living in private households at the time of the survey. Residents of Indian Reserves or Crown lands, institutions and certain remote regions, and full-time members of the Canadian Forces are excluded. Approximately $97 \%$ of Canadians are represented.

Ethics approval to conduct the survey was obtained from Health Canada's Research Ethics Board. ${ }^{26}$ Informed written consent was obtained from respondents aged 14 years or older. For younger children, a parent or legal guardian provided written consent, in addition to written assent from the child. Participation was voluntary; respondents could opt out of any part of the survey at any time.

Data were collected at 15 sites across Canada from March 2007 through February 2009. Of the households selected, the response rate was $69.6 \%$, meaning that in $69.6 \%$ of the selected households, a resident provided the sex and date of birth of all household members. Within each responding household, one or two members were chosen to participate in the CHMS; $88.5 \%$ of selected 6- to 19 -year-olds completed the household questionnaire,
and $86.9 \%$ of those who completed the questionnaire participated in the subsequent examination centre component. The final response rate for 6 - to 19-year-olds, after adjusting for the sampling strategy, ${ }^{27}$ was $53.5 \%$. This article is based on 2,087 examination centre participants aged 6 to 19 years.

Historical estimates of fitness measures are based on data from the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey (CFS), a nationally representative sample of the Canadian population, ${ }^{21,28,29}$ initiated and funded by Fitness Canada. The sample was designed by Statistics Canada, using the Labour Force Survey sampling frame. The CFS sample consisted of 13,500 households, $88 \%$ of which agreed to participate, meaning that basic demographic information was collected for all household members, and a household member agreed to a follow-up visit when all members would be at home. In these responding households, 30,652 people aged 7 years or older were eligible to participate in the CFS. The CFS had two components: a questionnaire on health and lifestyle (administered to household members aged 10 years or older) and a physical measures component (for respondents aged 7 to 69 years). A respondent to the CFS was defined as a household member who completed the questionnaire and/ or participated in the physical measures component. In total, 23,400 household members ( $76 \%$ ) responded. Therefore, the overall response rate to the CFS was $67 \%$ ( $88 \%$ x $76 \%$ ). Among CFS respondents who were eligible for the physical measures component, $73 \%$ participated, yielding a response rate of $49 \%$ to this component ( $88 \% \times 76 \%$ x 73\%). The CFS estimates in this article are based on 5,116 respondents aged 7 to 19 years. Fitness testing and anthropometric measures were taken in sampled households between February and July 1981, using standardized equipment and procedures. Testing was performed by university graduates who had degrees in physical education and recreation and additional qualifications in fitness appraisal.

## Measures

In addition to a comprehensive health interview conducted in the home, CHMS respondents underwent body composition measurements and participated in directly measured fitness tests in a mobile examination centre. ${ }^{25}$

Most of the measurement protocols for assessing body composition, aerobic fitness and musculoskeletal fitness were taken from the Canadian Physical Activity, Fitness and Lifestyle Approach (CPAFLA). ${ }^{30}$ A detailed description of the specific collection procedures can be found in the CHMS Data Users' Guide. ${ }^{31}$ The CPAFLA assigns "health benefit ratings" for individual and aggregate fitness measures. ${ }^{30}$ These ratings are available only for ages 15 to 69 years and reflect changes expected with age.

The fitness tests and anthropometric measures in the CHMS were conducted by specialists with a degree in kinesiology and certification from the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology (www. csep.ca) as either Certified Exercise Physiologists or Certified Personal Trainers. Before undergoing any clinic tests, respondents were interviewed to ensure that they were physically able to perform the tests for which they were eligible. They were asked about their physical and health conditions and their use of prescription medications. A Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (http://www.csep.ca/ CMFiles/publications/parq/par-q. pdf) was completed and signed by all respondents (and by the guardian if the respondent was younger than 14 years). To ensure their safety, respondents were screened out of certain tests, depending on the answers they provided to the screening questions. They were requested to adhere to pre-testing guidelines about food, alcohol, caffeine, nicotine, exercise and blood donations. Detailed information about screening questions, pre-testing guidelines and test eligibility criteria can be found in the

CHMS Clinic Questionnaire ${ }^{32}$ and Data Users' Guide. ${ }^{31}$

The anthropometric measures collected included height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference and skinfold measurements. Height was measured using a ProScale M150 digital stadiometer (Accurate Technology Inc., Fletcher, USA), and weight was taken with a Mettler Toledo VLC with Panther Plus terminal scale (Mettler Toledo Canada, Mississauga, Canada). Waist circumference was measured with a Gulick measuring tape (Fitness Mart, Gay Mills, USA) following the World Health Organization (WHO) protocol ${ }^{33}$ (mid-point between last floating rib and top of the iliac crest in mid-axillary line), and hip circumference was measured following the Canadian Standardized Test of Fitness (CSTF) protocol ${ }^{34}$ at the level of the symphysis pubis and the greatest gluteal protuberance. The skinfolds were measured using Harpenden skinfold calipers (Baty International, UK) at five sites: triceps, biceps, subscapular, iliac crest and calf. ${ }^{30}$ Body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio, and the sum of the five skinfolds were calculated according to standard procedures. ${ }^{30,34}$

Body composition ratings were derived from the anthropometric measures. Based on BMI, 18- to 19-year-olds were classified as underweight (less than 18.5 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), normal weight ( 18.5 to $24.9 \mathrm{~kg} /$ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), overweight ( 25 to $29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), or obese $\left(30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ or more). ${ }^{35}$ Children aged 6 to 17 years were classified as being normal weight, overweight or obese based on definitions proposed by the International Obesity Task Force. ${ }^{36}$ Based on their waist circumference, respondents aged 15 years or older were classified as having a low (less than 80 cm in females; less than 94 cm in males), increased ( 80 to 87 cm in females; 94 to 101 cm in males) or high (more than 87 cm in females; more than 101 cm in males) health risk. ${ }^{30,37-39}$ Finally, an overall body composition health rating was assessed for respondents aged 15 years or older, based on an aggregation of BMI, waist circumference and the
sum of five skinfolds, as defined in the CPAFLA. ${ }^{30}$

Aerobic fitness was measured using the modified Canadian Aerobic Fitness Test (mCAFT), during which respondents had to complete one or more three-minute "stepping" stages (up and down steps with increasing intensity) at predetermined speeds, based on their age and sex. ${ }^{30}$ Children aged 6 to 14 years started at what is Stage 5 for women, to a maximum of 3 stages. Respondents' heart rate was recorded after each stage, and the test was completed when it reached $85 \%$ of their age-predicted maximal heart rate ( 220 - age). Heart rate was measured with a Polar (Polar Electro Canada Inc, Lachine, Canada) heart rate monitor, or in the case of inadequate signal from the monitor, by auscultation/palpation. Predicted maximal aerobic power $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max \right)$ was determined for all participants. ${ }^{30,40,41}$ Respondents who completed at least one stage, but stopped midway through a subsequent stage ("partials"), were assigned a score based on their last fully completed stage. "Partials" were usually due to respondents' inability to maintain the cadence of the stepping test, which was particularly evident among younger children. Those unable to fully complete even one stage were coded as "not stated" and were not assigned an aerobic fitness score.

Muscular strength was assessed by measuring grip strength with a Smedley III hand-grip dynamometer (Takei Scientific Instruments, Japan) twice on each hand (alternating) and combining the maximum score for each hand (in kg). Muscular endurance was measured with the partial curl-up test, which required respondents to perform as many partial curl-ups as possible in one minute, at a set pace, to a maximum of 25 . Flexibility was assessed with the sit-and-reach test, for which respondents sat on a mat on the floor with their legs extended against a flexometer (a device to measure the distance of a stretch) (Fit Systems Inc, Calgary, Canada); the best of two attempts to stretch as far forward
as possible without bending the knees was recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm .

Youthaged 15 to 19 yearswere assigned "health benefit ratings" of excellent, very good, good, fair, or needs improvement based on their score for each fitness test (aerobic fitness, flexibility, muscular endurance, and muscular strength), their sex and their age, according to definitions specified in the CPAFLA. ${ }^{30}$ An overall musculoskeletal fitness health benefit rating was assessed based on the results of the grip strength, partial curl-up and sit-and-reach tests. A back fitness health benefit rating was calculated based on waist circumference and the partial curlups and sit-and-reach tests. ${ }^{30}$

The 1981 CFS measured grip strength, sit-and-reach and body composition following collection protocols ${ }^{21}$ very similar to those of the CHMS.

## Analytical techniques

Data were analyzed separately by sex for three age groups: 6 to 10,11 to 14 , and 15 to 19 years. Estimates of means, standard deviations and medians were produced for all fitness measures (body composition measurements and fitness test scores). Estimates of the means and medians were similar for most measures, although in some cases, means were marginally higher, reflecting somewhat positively skewed distributions. An exception was the bimodal distribution of the number of partial curl-ups completed in one minute (to a maximum of 25), with large percentages of respondents completing either none or 25 . As a result, percentage distributions are presented for this measure. Estimates of aerobic fitness from the mCAFT and the partial curl-ups do not include 6- and 7-yearolds, who often could not perform these tests for reasons unrelated to fitness (for example, lacking the co-ordination to follow the cadence). The equation used to predict maximal aerobic power $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right.$ $\max )$ is applicable to people aged 15 to 69 years. ${ }^{30,40,41}$ In this article, the equation was also applied to 8 - to 14 -year-olds. Graphs of medians were produced by single year of age, but separate graph lines are presented for those aged 8 to 14 years
and 15 to 19 years to highlight the fact that the equation has not been validated for the younger children (Figure 1).

For the health benefits ratings, percentage distributions are presented. The health benefits ratings used in the analyses apply only to those aged 15 years or older; ${ }^{30}$ to provide context, ratings are compared with those for 20to 39-year-olds $(\mathrm{n}=1,185) .{ }^{42}$

Comparisons with the 1981 CFS were made for estimates of grip strength, sit-and-reach, and all body composition measurements. For muscular endurance, comparisons could not be made because the partial curl-up test, which was used to assess this component of fitness in the CHMS, was administered as speed sit-ups in the CFS. And although the same testing modality was used to assess aerobic fitness in the two surveys, small differences in the protocols negated a simple temporal comparison. Additional analyses, which are beyond the scope of this study, will be conducted in future research to fully understand the impact of these differences.

As in the CHMS, CFS respondents were interviewed before undergoing any fitness tests to ensure that they were physically able to perform them. The CFS used screen-out procedures similar to those used for the mCAFT for the CHMS. ${ }^{31}$ Therefore, for comparisons of estimates of grip strength and sit-and-reach between the two surveys, respondents who were screened-out of the mCAFT were excluded from CHMS estimates. Because of the potential for changes over time in the age distribution within the three age groups considered, the 1981 estimates were recalculated to standardize to the CHMS population. However, in all cases, the crude and age-standardized estimates for means were similar, so only crude estimates are presented in this study.

The fitness profiles of a typical 12-year-old boy and girl in 1981 and in 2007-2009 are compared. Age 12 was chosen because it is the midpoint of the 6 to 19 year age range examined in this paper. To ensure adequate sample sizes, estimates are based on median
values for children aged 11 to 13 years. The silhouettes used to illustrate the comparisons in Figure 3 are not sized to scale.

To account for the survey design effects of the CHMS, standard errors, coefficients of variation and $95 \%$ confidence intervals were estimated using the bootstrap technique. ${ }^{43,44}$ Estimates of sampling error for the CFS are based on formulae for simple random sampling with the incorporation of a design effect of 1.5 to account for the complex survey design. Differences between estimates were tested for statistical significance, which was established at the level of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

Response, non-response and screenout rates for the CHMS fitness tests are given in Appendix Table A. Appendix Table B compares screen-out rates for the mCAFT for the CHMS with those for the CFS fitness test. Sample sizes
for CHMS fitness measures are given in Appendix Table C. Among respondents who participated in the examination centre component of the survey, partial non-response (opting out of certain tests or portions of tests) to the fitness tests and anthropometric measures was rare.

## Results

## Response outcomes

Most children and youth who participated in the examination centre component of the CHMS completed all four fitness tests. Virtually everyone completed the flexibility (sit-and-reach) and muscular strength (grip strength) tests, and were assigned scores (Appendix Table A). Some were screened out of the aerobic fitness test (mCAFT) and the muscular endurance test (partial curl-ups), most because of health problems they reported during the screening component.

Figure 1
Median predicted maximal aerobic power ( $\mathrm{ml} \cdot(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{min})^{-1}$ ), by sex and age, household population aged 8 to 19 years, Canada, March 2007 to February 2009

Median predicted maximal
aerobic power $\left(\mathrm{ml} \cdot(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{min})^{-1}\right)$


Note: Equation for predicted maximal aerobic power has not been validated for children aged 8 to 14 years. Source: 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.

Relatively high percentages of 15 - to 19 -year-olds were screened out of the mCAFT ( $18 \%$ of girls and $17 \%$ of boys) and the partial curl-up test ( $14 \%$ of girls and $13 \%$ of boys). Based on their body composition measurements, those who were screened out tended to be less fit. For example, among those screened out of the mCAFT, mean BMI was $24.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and mean waist circumference was 80.4 cm , compared with a mean BMI of 23.2 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and a mean waist circumference of 78.0 cm among those who completed the test. Some younger children had difficulty with the mCAFT because of an inability to maintain the proper stepping cadence. This was especially the case for 8 - to 10 -year-olds, among whom $19 \%$ of boys and $13 \%$ of girls were not assigned $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ scores for this reason.

Body composition measurements were taken for virtually all children and youth who participated in the examination centre component (Appendix Table C).

## Fitness measures

Predicted maximal aerobic power $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2} \mathrm{max}\right)$ declined with age for both boys and girls (Figure 1). However, these results should be interpreted with caution, because the equation for $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ has not been validated for children aged 8 to 14 years. At all ages, boys had higher $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ max values than did girls.

Based on the sit-and-reach test, girls were more flexible than boys (Table 1). Flexibility scores were fairly stable across the three age groups for both sexes.

At ages 8 to 10 years, $28 \%$ of boys and $23 \%$ of girls were unable to complete even one partial curl-up. However, boys aged 15 to 19 years excelled at this test, with $64 \%$ completing 25 partial curl-ups. Girls in all three age groups tended to fall in the middle group, completing between one and 24 curl-ups.

In all three age groups, boys' mean scores for grip strength were higher than those of girls, and as might be expected, grip strength increased at older ages for both sexes.

BMI rose with age, although average BMIs were similar for boys and girls in all

Table 1
Descriptive statistics for selected fitness measures, by sex and age group, household population aged 6 to 19 years, Canada, March 2007 to February 2009


* significantly different from estimate for boys ( $p<0.05$ )
significantly different from estimate for 15 - to 19 -year-olds ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
₹ 6 - and 7 -year-olds excluded from estimates for aerobic fitness and muscular endurance (partial curl-ups)
equation for predicted maximal aerobic power ( $\mathrm{ml} \cdot(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{min})^{-1}$ ) has not been validated for children younger than 15 years
excludes respondents with BMI $30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or higher
use with caution (coefficient of variation $16.6 \%$ to $33.3 \%$ )
not applicable
Note: If coefficient of variation of estimate is greater than $33 \%$, estimate is indicated as being less than upper limit of $95 \%$ confidence interval.
Source: 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
three age groups. Waist circumference, too, increased with age. Average waist circumference was similar for boys and girls aged 11 to 14 years, but in the older and younger age groups, boys' average waist circumference was larger.

Skinfold measurements were taken for children and adolescents whose BMI was less than $30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $94 \%$ of boys and girls). At ages 11 to 14 years, boys, average skinfold measurements were higher than at ages 6 to 10 or 15 to 19 years. Among girls, average skinfold measurements rose with age, and in the two older age groups, girls had higher average skinfold measurements than did boys.

Girls aged 6 to 10 years had higher waist-to-hip ratios than did 15 - to 19 -year-olds. In all three age groups, girls' waist-to-hip ratios were lower than those of boys.

## Health benefit ratings

Based on their fitness measures, 15to 19-year-olds were assigned health benefit ratings (Table 2). To provide context, these ratings are compared with those for adults aged 20 to 39 years. The "excellent" and "very good" categories and the "fair" and "needs improvement" categories were combined to ensure sufficient sample size for all measures. Health benefit ratings for aerobic and musculoskeletal fitness are based on age-specific cut-offs that take account of expected changes in these measures that occur with age. ${ }^{30}$

At ages 15 to 19 years, $32 \%$ of boys and $20 \%$ of girls had $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ max scores that placed them in the fair/ needs improvement category. Percentages were much higher among 20- to 39 -yearolds: $46 \%$ of men and $37 \%$ of women.

More than two-thirds (68\%) of boys and $59 \%$ of girls aged 15 to 19 years had sit-and-reach (flexibility) scores that placed them in the fair/needs improvement category, similar to the percentages for $20-$ to 39 -year-olds. Teens and young adults also had similar ratings for muscular endurance-38\% of teenage girls and $20 \%$ of teenage boys were in the fair/needs improvement category. Just

Table 2
Percentage distribution of health benefit ratings of selected fitness measures, by sex, household population aged 15 to 19 years and 20 to 39, Canada, March 2007 to February 2009

| Health benefit rating and sex | 15 to 19 years |  |  | 20 to 39 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 95\% confidence interval |  | \% | 95\% confidence interval |  |
|  | \% | from | to |  | from | to |
| Aerobic fitness health benefit zone Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $32^{+}$ | 24 | 39 | ${ }^{46}{ }^{\text { }}$ | 41 | 51 |
| GirlsGood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good Boys | 31 | 24 | 38 | 26 | 20 | 33 |
| Girls | $54^{+*}$ | 47 | 62 | $40^{*}$ | 37 | 44 |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys Girls | $\begin{aligned} & 38^{+} \\ & 26^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 31 19 | 45 34 | 27 23 | 19 16 | 36 29 |
| Flexibility (sit-and-reach) health benefit zone Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 59^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 62 49 | 74 69 | 61 55 | 55 52 | 66 59 |
| Good ${ }^{\text {God }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | 19 | 13 | 24 | 16 | 12 | 21 |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| Girls | $30^{*}$ | 21 | 38 | 29* | 26 | 32 |
| Muscular endurance (partial curl-up) health benefit zone Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | $38^{*}$ | 28 | 47 | $46^{*}$ | 41 | 52 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | <10 | 7 | 12 | $10{ }^{70^{E}}$ | 4 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys Girls | $\begin{aligned} & 744^{*} \\ & 53^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 68 44 | 80 62 | 75 $44^{*}$ | 70 39 | 80 49 |
| Muscular strength (grip strength) health benefit zone Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $5_{47+}$ | 51 | 67 55 | ${ }_{56}{ }^{*}$ | 35 5 | 49 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | 19 E | 13 | 25 | $24{ }^{\text {E }}$ | 15 | 32 |
| Boys | ${ }_{22}{ }^{+}$ | 17 | 27 | 34 | 27 | 42 |
| Girls | 27 | 20 | 33 | 27 | 19 | 34 |
| Overall musculoskeletal health benefit zone ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair/Needs improvement <br> Boys | $46^{+}$ | 36 | 55 | 30 | 25 | 36 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys Girls | $\begin{aligned} & 25^{\dagger} \\ & 24^{E} \end{aligned}$ | 19 16 | 31 33 | ${ }_{21}{ }^{38}$ | 31 17 | 44 24 |
| Body mass index category ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Obese |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys Girls | $\begin{aligned} & 14^{\mathrm{E}} \\ & 100^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | 22 13 | 19 21 | 15 16 | 23 25 |
| Overweight |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $17{ }^{\dagger}$ | 12 | 22 | $37{ }^{37}$ | 30 | 45 |
| Normal weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | 69t | 60 |  |  | 37 | 48 |
| Girls | $74^{\dagger}$ | 69 | 80 | 50 | 41 | 60 |
| Waist circumference health risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High risk | $<13^{\dagger}$ |  |  | 21 |  | 24 |
| Girls | $17^{\dagger+}$ | 12 | 21 | $31{ }^{*}$ | 25 | 37 |
| Increased risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | -111 | 5 | 17 | $17{ }^{1}$ | 11 | 18 23 |
| Low risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $\begin{aligned} & 82^{\dagger *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 78 64 | 93 80 | ${ }_{5}^{65}{ }^{*}$ | 61 43 | 69 61 |
| Body composition health benefit zone ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | $15^{\text {+E }}$ | 9 | 20 | 29* | 23 | 34 |
| Good ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | $<11$ | ... | ... | <5 | ... | ... |
| Girls | <4 | ... | ... | <6 | ... | ... |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys Girls | $\begin{aligned} & 86^{\dagger} \\ & 84^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$ | 79 79 | 94 88 | 77 68 | 73 61 | 82 |
| Back fitness health benefit zone\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair/Needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | ${ }_{22^{+E}}^{1{ }^{+5}}$ | 10 13 | 16 31 | ${ }_{30} 22$ | 18 24 | 25 36 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | ${ }^{29}{ }^{\text {* }}$ | 23 | 35 | 21 | 15 | 27 |
| ExcellentVery good |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | $64^{+}$ | 56 | 72 | 53 | 47 | 59 |

* significantly different from estimate for boys ( $p<0.05$ )
significantly different from estimate for 20- to 39 -year-olds ( $p<0.05$ )
based on flexibility, muscular endurance and muscular strength
estimates for underweight not reported because of small sample sizes
\#t based on BMI, waist circumference and sum of five skinfolds
\# based on flexibility, muscular endurance and waist circumference
E use with caution (coefficient of variation $16.6 \%$ to $33.3 \%$ )
. not applicable
Note: If coefficient of variation of estimate is greater than $33 \%$, estimate is indicated as being less than upper limit of $95 \%$ confidence interval.
Source: 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
under half ( $47 \%$ ) of teenage girls were in the fair/needs improvement category for muscular strength, compared with $59 \%$ of teenage boys. Men aged 20 to 39 years fared better than teenage boys, with $42 \%$ being assessed this low rating. However, women aged 20 to 39 did not score as well as teenage girls, with $56 \%$ in the fair/needs improvement category.

Based on a combination of their flexibility, muscular endurance and muscular strength scores, almost half of 15 - to 19 -year-olds were assessed as fair/ needs improvement for musculoskeletal health; slightly less than a third were assessed as good; and the remaining quarter, as very good/ excellent. Teenage boys' ratings were not as favourable as those of men aged 20 to 39 years; teenage girls and women aged 20 to 39 years had similar ratings.

For all body composition measurements, teens' health benefit ratings were better than those of 20- to 39 -year-olds. Teens were more likely to have BMIs that placed them in the normal weight group, less likely to have waist circumferences that placed them in the high-risk group, and for the composite measure based on BMI, waist circumference and the sum of five skinfolds, smaller percentages were in the fair/needs improvement category.

The back fitness of $13 \%$ of boys and $22 \%$ of girls aged 15 to 19 years was assessed as fair/needs improvement. The corresponding figures among 20to 39 -year-olds were higher, at $22 \%$ for men and $30 \%$ for women.

## Comparisons with 1981

Where comparable tests were administered for flexibility and muscular strength and similar anthropometric measurements were taken, CHMS results were compared with data collected in the 1981 Canadian Fitness Survey (CFS). To make estimates more comparable, respondents screened out of the aerobic fitness test were excluded from CHMS estimates of flexibility and muscular strength (see Methods). Screen-out rates (based on the aerobic fitness test) for the two surveys are given in Appendix Table
B. Screen-out rates were similar between the two surveys for children aged 7 to 10 and 11 to 14 years, but much higher percentages of 15 - to 19 -year-olds were screened out in 2007-2009 than in 1981.

Fitness scores for children and adolescents were less favourable in 2007-2009 than in 1981 (Table 3). For boys and girls in all age groups, flexibility and muscular strength scores were lower in 2007-2009, and mean BMI, waist circumference and the sum of five skinfolds were higher.

Compared with 1981, in 2007-2009, higher percentages of boys and girls aged 15 to 19 years were in the fair/needs improvement category for flexibility and muscular strength (Figure 2). The percentage in the increased/high-risk waist circumference category more than tripled for both sexes. The percentage classified as overweight or obese rose from $14 \%$ to $31 \%$ among boys, and from $14 \%$ to $25 \%$ among girls. For overall body composition, the percentage assigned to the bottom three categories (good/

Table 3
Mean and median values for selected fitness measures, by sex and age group, household population aged 7 to 19 years, Canada, 1981 and 2007-2009

| Fitness measure, sex and survey year | 7 to 10 years |  | 11 to 14 years |  | 15 to 19 years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Flexibility: sit-and-reach (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 30 |
| 2007-2009 | 24* | 25* | 21* | 22* | $24 *$ | 24* |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| 2007-2009 | 29* | 29* | 28* | 29* | 30* | 29* |
| Muscular strength: grip strength (kg) Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 32 | 32 | 57 | 53 | 96 | 96 |
| 2007-2009 | $27 *$ | 28* | 51* | 46* | 86* | 87* |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 29 | 28 | 48 | 47 | 60 | 60 |
| 2007-2009 | 24* | 24* | 42* | 41* | 54* | 54* |
| Body mass index ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 21.4 |
| 2007-2009 | 18.1* | 17.4* | 20.6* | 19.3* | 23.8* | 22.4* |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 19.3 | 19.1 | 21.6 | 21.1 |
| 2007-2009 | 17.4* | 16.5 | 20.4* | 19.7 | 23.1* | 22.0* |
| Waist circumference (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 59 | 58 | 67 | 66 | 76 | 75 |
| 2007-2009 | 62* | 60* | 71* | 68 | 81* | 77 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 58 | 57 | 64 | 64 | 69 | 68 |
| 2007-2009 | 59* | 57 | 70* | 69* | 77* | 73* |
| Sum of five skinfolds (mm) ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 37 | 32 | 43 | 37 | 43 | 37 |
| 2007-2009 | 51* | 39* | 54* | 44* | 48* | 41 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 47 | 42 | 55 | 50 | 64 | 60 |
| 2007-2009 | 52* | 45 | 67* | 61* | 79* | 74* |

* significantly different from estimate for 1981 ( $p<0.05$ )
${ }^{\dagger}$ excludes respondents with BMI $30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or more
Note: To make estimates more comparable, Canadian Health Measures Survey estimates for flexibility and muscular strength exclude respondents screened out of aerobic fitness test (see Methods).
Source: 1981 Canada Fitness Survey; 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.

Figure 2
Percentage with suboptimal health benefit ratings for selected anthropometric measures, by sex, household population aged 15 to 19 years, Canada, 1981 and 2007-2009


* significantly higher than estimate for 1981 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
${ }^{\mathrm{E}}$ use with caution (coefficient of variation $16.6 \%$ to $33.3 \%$ )
Note: To make estimates more comparable, Canadian Health Measures Survey estimates for flexibility and muscular strength exclude respondents screened out of aerobic fitness test (see Methods). If coefficient of variation of estimate is greater than $33.3 \%$, estimate is indicated as being less than upper limit of $95 \%$ confidence interval
Sources: 1981 Canada Fitness Survey; 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
fair/needs improvement; combined to ensure adequate sample sizes) more than quadrupled from less than $2 \%$ to $14 \%$ for boys, and from $4 \%$ to $16 \%$ for girls (not shown in Figure 2).


## A typical 12-year-old

Figure 3 depicts a typical 12-year-old boy and girl in 1981 and in 2007-2009. In 2007-2009, a 12 -year-old boy was, on average, about 5 cm ( 2 inches) taller than his 1981 counterpart and weighed 6.4 kg (14 pounds) more. His waist circumference was 1.3 cm larger, his hip circumference 6.0 cm larger, and his BMI had increased by $1.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. His grip strength had declined by 5 kg , and his score in the sit-and-reach test decreased by 5.1 cm .

In 2007-2009, a typical 12-year-old girl was 2.8 cm (1.1 inches) taller than
her 1981 counterpart, and she weighed 4.9 kg (11 pounds) more. Her waist circumference was 5.6 cm larger, her hip circumference 4.8 cm larger, and her BMI had risen by $1.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Her grip strength had declined by 3 kg , and her score on the sit-and-reach test had decreased by 3.8 cm .

## Discussion

Nationally representative data on the fitness of Canadian children and youth have not been available in two decades, a period that saw a remarkable rise in childhood obesity. ${ }^{6-8}$ Using data from cycle 1 of the Canadian Health Measures Survey, this paper provides an important update, demonstrating that fitness levels have declined significantly and meaningfully since 1981; that
significant sex differences exist for most measures of fitness; that fitness levels change substantially from age 6 through 19 years; and that 15 - to 19 -year-olds generally have better health benefit ratings for aerobic fitness and body composition than do adults aged 20 to 39, but results for musculoskeletal fitness are mixed. Overall, the patterns by age and sex in the CHMS are consistent with those in the 1981 CFS. Sex and age-related differences reflect complex and interconnected effects of genetics, anatomy, physiology, behaviour and social and physical environments.

Fitness testing of children and youth has been done in Canada and the United States with varying degrees of rigour for more than 50 years, ${ }^{45}$ but the lack of standardization in test protocols makes it difficult to assess temporal trends. School-based fitness testing was common in Canada in the 1960-to1980 period, but testing protocols were oriented toward performance-related fitness (for example, standing long jump, 50-metre sprint, flexed arm hang) ${ }^{46-48}$ rather than health-related fitness, ${ }^{30}$ which is the focus of measures in the CHMS.

## Body composition

The estimates of height and weight of a typical 12-year-old boy and girl from the CHMS are significantly greater than those for age-matched counterparts from the CFS. This upward trend in height and weight has been evident in developed countries since the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century and likely reflects a combination of improved health and nutrition, accelerated maturation, and more favourable living conditions. ${ }^{49}$

Indicators of body composition (BMI, waist circumference, skinfold measures) increased substantially between 1981 and 2007-2009. These direct measures of adiposity further verify previously reported trends ${ }^{6-8}$ and provide strong evidence that the increases in childhood obesity and overweight based on BMI are related to greater adiposity, not greater muscularity.

Girls had higher mean skinfolds than did boys, but generally had lower

Figure 3
Portrait of typical 12-year-old boy and girl, 1981 and 2007-2009


* significantly different from estimate for 1981 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )

Note: Estimates are based on median values for boys and girls aged 11 to 13 years. To make estimates more comparable, Canadian Health Measures Survey estimates for flexibility and muscular strength exclude respondents screened out of aerobic fitness test (see Methods).
Sources: 1981 Canada Fitness Survey; 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
waist circumferences and waist-to-hip ratios. Mean BMIs were similar. Earlier Canadian studies showed that while BMI was equivalent, levels of subcutaneous fat as measured by the sum of skinfolds were higher among girls and that BMI in boys and girls and sum of skinfolds in girls increased with age. ${ }^{21,22}$

Compared with results from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study, ${ }^{50,51}$ which began in 1974 and followed participants for 32 years, the BMI of Canadian children aged 11 to 14 years is approximately 3 units $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ higher for boys and 4 units
higher for girls. If the BMI of Canadian children follows trajectories over the next few decades similar to those of the Amsterdam children, the average 11- to 14-year-old Canadian of today will be overweight by age 36 years.

## Aerobic fitness

Because of refinements in the aerobic fitness measures used in the CPAFLA (mCAFT) over time, direct comparisons of aerobic fitness between the CFS and the CHMS are difficult, and require additional analyses beyond the scope of
this paper. Based on field fitness testing, global temporal trend data demonstrate a worldwide decrease in pediatric aerobic fitness ${ }^{16,52}$ that cannot be explained solely by the increase in child adiposity. ${ }^{53}$ These findings suggest that a decrease in physical activity and subsequent detraining effect are likely at least partially responsible for the decline in aerobic fitness. ${ }^{53}$ Absolute comparisons of aerobic fitness results to international data are hampered by the lack of data using the same protocol (mCAFT) and the lack of a validated method to convert mCAFT scores to $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ in children younger than 15 years.

In the CHMS, aerobic fitness was higher in boys than girls and decreased with age among both sexes, consistent with previous Canadian findings. ${ }^{21}$ Recent data from the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey also showed significantly higher estimated $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ in boys than girls aged 12 to 19 years and an increase in maximal aerobic power with age in boys, but a decrease with age in girls. ${ }^{54}$ Age-related declines may be due to less physical activity, increased adiposity, or changes in hemodynamic and/or metabolic functions associated with growth and development. ${ }^{49}$ Although the age-related decline in aerobic fitness (expressed relative to body weight) through childhood is well documented, ${ }^{49}$ routine participation in moderate to vigorous physical activity could slow or reverse this trend. ${ }^{55}$

The age- and sex-matched median predicted maximal aerobic power values from the United States ${ }^{54}$ are lower than the Canadian values reported in Figure 1. The differences may reflect higher aerobic fitness among Canadian children and youth, fundamental differences in testing protocols, problems with the equation used to predict maximal aerobic power in Canadian children and youth (not validated for children younger than 15 years), or some combination of explanations. The mCAFT uses agepredicted maximum heart rate ( 220 -age) to determine the heart rate at which the test is completed. Because maximal heart

## What is already <br> known on this subject?

- Childhood obesity has risen significantly over the past 20 to 30 years.
- Excess adiposity in childhood is associated with elevated cardiometabolic disease risk.
- Even in childhood, strong evidence indicates a direct relationship between fitness and health.
- Boys generally demonstrate better aerobic fitness and strength than girls, while girls demonstrate better flexibility.
- Aerobic fitness, relative to body weight, declines with age through childhood and adolescence and is lower in girls than boys.


## What does this study add?

- At age 12 years, Canadian boys and girls are now taller and heavier than in 1981.
- Based on a variety of direct measures of anthropometry from the Canadian Health Measures Survey, the body composition of Canadian children and youth is less healthy than in 1981.
- The strength and flexibility of boys and girls has declined significantly since 1981.
- Increases in childhood obesity and overweight are related to increased adiposity, not greater muscularity.
rate does not change much in childhood, this methodology may affect predicted maximal aerobic power results. Further research is required to substantiate these potential explanations.
$\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ estimates for Canadian children aged 11 to 14 years appear slightly lower than earlier estimates
from a sample of 13-year-olds in the Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study in 1974. ${ }^{50,51}$ At age 36 years, $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ estimates for these participants were about $50 \mathrm{ml} \cdot(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{min})^{-1}$ for men and $40 \mathrm{ml} \cdot(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{min})^{-1}$ for women, which are higher than estimates for men and equivalent to those for women aged 20 to 39 years in the CHMS. ${ }^{42}$ Based on this age-related decline of $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ and the secular trend toward poorer fitness levels indicated by a comparison between the CHMS and CFS, it is likely that when these 11- to 14 -year-old Canadians are adults, their fitness profile will be poorer than that of current adults.


## Musculoskeletal fitness

Significantly lower flexibility and muscular strength scores were observed for boys and girls of all ages in the CHMS, compared with the 1981 CFS. Prospective, longitudinal studies examining health-related outcomes related to flexibility and strength through childhood are lacking, as are international comparisons that employed similar measurement protocols. However, studies of communities that have not adopted modern technology and lifestyles are useful bases of comparison. Results for Canadian Old Order Amish and Old Order Mennonite children indicate that their grip strength is approximately $50 \%$ higher than the results obtained from the CHMS ${ }^{56,57}$

Muscular strength was higher in boys than in girls and increased with age among both sexes. Girls had better flexibility scores than did boys at all ages, and there was no age-related difference in mean flexibility scores. Neither of these findings is new, and they reaffirm patterns observed in earlier surveys. ${ }^{21,22}$

The muscular endurance test is influenced by floor and ceiling effects (Table 1). Nonetheless, results seem to improve with age, with boys aged 15 to 19 years performing better than girls. Further research on the validity and reliability of this test for children younger than 15 years is required.

## Limitations

The findings in this study have important limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. Most notably, the screening criteria for the various fitness tests, which were employed to ensure respondent safety, could have biased the sample. For example, the mean BMI of the $17 \%$ of 15 - to 19 -year-olds screened out of the aerobic fitness test was 24.1 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, compared with $23.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ among those who completed the test, indicating that those who were screened out were heavier.

As much as possible, the fitness tests and anthropometric measures in the CHMS were selected for their similarity to those in the CFS. However, differences in the sample design, the educational and training requirements of survey administrators, the testing venue, and response rates and weighting procedures may have weakened the comparability of estimates.

Maximal aerobic power in children is most often referred to as "peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ " rather than $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$, as is often used in adults. This difference highlights the challenge of getting directly measured "true" maximal tests from children. ${ }^{58}$ The adult convention of expressing $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ relative to body weight (for example, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ per kg per min) has been challenged in the pediatric literature because of strong evidence demonstrating a non-linear relationship between peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and body mass during growth and maturation. ${ }^{49,58}$ However, no allometric scaling was performed on the data in these analyses. Furthermore, as previously noted, the equation for calculating $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ max has not been validated for chidren younger than 15 years.

It was noted during field observations that some younger children had difficulty performing the partial curl-up test for reasons other than level of muscular endurance. Thus, 6- and 7-year-olds were excluded from CHMS estimates. Difficulty performing the test may also explain, in part, the high percentage of 8 - to 10 -year-olds who completed no curl-ups.

The overall non-response rate to the CHMS was $46.5 \%$. Although adjustments were made to the sampling weights to compensate, CHMS estimates may be biased if there were systematic differences between respondents and non-respondents. One concern is the possibility that less-fit individuals may have been less likely to participate, particularly in the examination centre component of the survey. To assess this source of bias, estimates of overweight/ obesity from the 2007-2009 CHMS were compared with those from the 2008 Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) that were based on measured height and weight. Among 12- to 19-year-olds, the estimated prevalence of overweight/obesity according to 2008 CCHS data was $30.8 \%$, somewhat
higher than the CHMS estimate of $28.2 \%$, which suggests that CHMS data may overestimate fitness levels to some extent. The same concern about bias may also apply to the CFS estimates. Based on CFS data, $13.1 \%$ of children aged 7 to 19 years were overweight/obese in 1981, compared with the estimate of $13.9 \%$ based on data from the 1978/1979 Canada Health Survey. ${ }^{20}$

Finally, it is possible that secular changes in the timing and tempo of maturation influenced the results. The comparisons in Figure 3 should be interpreted with this possibility in mind.

## Conclusions

This paper provides the first comprehensive assessment of the fitness of Canadian children and youth in a
generation. The results demonstrate a significant deterioration since 1981, regardless of sex or age. In particular, muscular strength and flexibility have decreased, and all measures of adiposity have increased. Children are taller, heavier, fatter and weaker than in 1981. Previous research predicts that a population decline in fitness, as observed here, may result in accelerated noncommunicable disease development, increased health care costs, and loss of future productivity. ${ }^{5,10-15,17,49,59}$ Ongoing surveillance of fitness through the Canadian Health Measure Survey will be important for monitoring trends, examining relationships between fitness and health, and assessing future interventions designed to improve the fitness of the nation.
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Table A
Percentage distribution of participation outcomes for fitness tests, by sex and age group, household population aged 6 to 19 years, Canada, March 2007 to February 2009

| Fitness test, outcome and sex | 6 to 8 (8 to10) years ${ }^{\S}$ | 11 to 14 years | 15 to 19 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------------- | ------\% ----- | ----------- |
| Aerobic fitness test (mCAFT) |  |  |  |
| Screened in |  |  |  |
| Test completed |  |  |  |
| Boys | 76.1 | 88.8 | 80.0 |
| Girls | 79.1 | $91.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | 80.2 |
| Test not done: trouble maintaining cadence |  |  |  |
| Boys | $18.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.8 | 2.0 |
| Girls | $13.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | 3.6 | 0.7 |
| Test not done: other reason ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |  |  |
| Boys | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Girls | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Screened out |  |  |  |
| Boys | $4.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $5.4^{\dagger}$ | 17.0 |
| Girls | $7.2^{\dagger}$ | $4.0^{+}$ | 17.6 |
| Flexibility test (sit-and-reach) |  |  |  |
| Screened in |  |  |  |
| Test completed |  |  |  |
| Boys | $97.2^{\dagger}$ | 99.0 | 100.0 |
| Girls | 98.8 | 99.1 | 97.7* |
| Test not done |  |  |  |
| Boys | $2.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Girls | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Screened out 0.8 |  |  |  |
| Boys | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Girls | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| Muscular endurance (partial curl-ups) |  |  |  |
| Screened in |  |  |  |
| Test completed |  |  |  |
| Boys | $96.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $95.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | 86.9 |
| Girls | $94.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $96.1^{\dagger}$ | 83.9 |
| Test not done |  |  |  |
| Boys | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Girls | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| Screened out |  |  |  |
| Boys | $2.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | 12.9 |
| Girls | $5.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $2.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | 13.9 |
| Muscular strength (grip strength) |  |  |  |
| Screened in |  |  |  |
| Test completed |  |  |  |
| Boys | 98.9 | 98.7 | 99.6 |
| Girls | 99.5 | 99.3 | 98.8 |
| Test not done 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Boys | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Girls | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Screened out |  |  |  |
| Boys | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Girls | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

* significantly different from estimate for boys ( $p<0.05$ )
+ significantly different from estimate for 15 - to 19-year-olds ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
* includes refusal, home inteview and other reasons
§ 6 - and 7 -year-olds excluded from estimates for aerobic fitness and muscular endurance (partial curl-ups)
Source: 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
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Table B
Percentage screened out of aerobic fitness tests, by sex and age group, household population aged 7 to 19 years, Canada, 1981 and 2007-2009
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrc}\begin{array}{l}\text { Sex and } \\ \text { survey year }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}7 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}11 \text { to } 14 \\ \text { years }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}15 \text { to } 19 \\ \text { years }\end{array} \\ \text {------------------- } \%----------------~\end{array}\right]$

* significantly different from estimate for 1981 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )

Sources: 1981 Canada Fitness Survey; 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.

Table C
Sample sizes for fitness assessments, by age group and sex, household population aged 6 to 19 years, Canada, March 2007 to February 2009


[^0]
[^0]:    † 6- and 7-year-olds excluded from estimates for aerobic fitness and muscular endurance (partial curl-ups)
    $\ddagger$ excludes respondents with BMI $30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or higher
    Source: 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.

